

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
6 AT SEATTLE

7 UZMA SHAH et al.,

Case No. C18-1345RSM

8 Plaintiffs,

ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED
9 MOTIONS RE: REPLY BRIEFING

v.

10 UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP
11 & IMMIGRATION SERVICES, et al.,

12 Defendants.

13 This matter comes before the Court on the parties' Unopposed Motions re:
14 responsive reply briefing on summary judgment. Dkts. #32 and #34. After reviewing the
15 briefing of both parties, the Court concludes that the requested relief is warranted, but notes that
16 Plaintiffs did not follow the procedures of Local Civil Rule 7(j) for seeking relief from a
17 deadline. An untimely, opposed motion for extension of time would not have been granted, and
18 Plaintiffs' counsel is advised to adhere to this and all other local rules in the future. In any
19 event, the Court finds and ORDERS that the parties' Unopposed Motions, Dkts. #32 and #34,
20 are GRANTED. The Court will consider Plaintiffs' late response(reply brief, Dkt. #33, and
21 Defendants are granted leave to file a reply brief no later than today, November 15, 2019.
22
23

24 DATED this 15th day of November, 2019.
25

26 
27

28 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE